UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FILED

June 23, 2021

CLERK, US DSITRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. 2:21-MJ-00100-CKD
Plaintiff,	
v. SOCORRO RUELAS-ESPINOSA,	DETENTION ORDER (Violation of Pretrial Release, Probation or Supervised Release)
Defendant.	Trobation of dupervised release)
on release and defendant has not rebutted the p another or the community or there is clear and convincing evidence that th release and based on the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3	has committed a federal, state or local crime while presumption that his/her release will endanger the defendant has violated another condition of 3142(g) there is no condition or combination of defendant will not flee or pose a danger to the
After a hearing pursuant to F.R.Cr.P. 32.1(a)(6) and or supervised release) the court finds there is probable of probation or supervised release and the defendant hand convincing evidence that he/she will not flee or pose 18 U.S.C. § 3143. IT IS ORDERED that pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2 Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility sawaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pereasonable opportunity for private consultation with his/hei///	cause to believe defendant has violated a condition has not met his/her burden of establishing by clear a danger to another person or to the community. 2)-(4) defendant is committed to the custody of the separate, to the extent practicable, from persons ending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded

///

Upon further order of a court of the United States or request of an attorney for the United States the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined shall deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: June 23, 2021

DEBORAH BARNES

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE